Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,155	CHILD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

All Participants:

(1) *Ehud Gartenberg*.

(2) *Thomas Otterlee*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *1 April 2005*

Time: *AM*

Type of Interview:
☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
1, 10, 14, 18

Prior art documents discussed:
Kouris '903, Baumann '331, Boyd '072

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)